A Study on Full Digital Clock Data Recovery (CDR)

Philipus Oh, Win Chaivipas, Akira Matsuzawa

Tokyo Institute of Technology Graduate School of Science and Engineering Department of Physical Electronics Matsuzawa Laboratory



Contents

- CDR fundamentals
- PLL based CDR and Oversampling CDR
- Proposed CDR concept
- Concept verification by system level simulation
- Merit & Demerit
- Summary and Future work



CDR Fundamentals





Applications

Any digital communication systems/network that use serial link:

- Optical Receivers (SONET)
- Chip to chip
- Peripheral I/O
- Crossbar switches for Asynchronous Transfer Mode



CDR Fundamentals



Bit error only occurs when the CLK jitter is greater than 0.5bit period



CDR classification

- Phase Locked Loop
- Oversampling Clock Recovery

Phase Locked Loop:





PLL based CDR

Phase detector in PLL for CDR must be able to detect edges and phase difference in random data.

Classified into:

- Hogge's Analog Phase Detector
- Alexander Binary Phase Detector



The difference between X & Y will show the phase difference amount and polarity



PLL based CDR



The result of phase detection only tells the polarity,

whether leading or lagging.

The amount of phase difference is not detected Thus, jitter is constantly produced at every phase detection



PLL Issue

- Low frequency acquisition, within 25% of VCO center frequency
- Long lock time, about 100 preamble data (depends on bandwidth, which also affect jitter performance, there's a trade off)
- Possibility of harmonic lock



Oversampling CDR

Data is obtained by sampling data by multiphase clock:

Data is processed to choose which values represent the data bit:





Oversampling CDR Issue

 At least 3 sample is needed for each data bit and special clock is needed for sampling.

(When input frequency change,

sampling clock must also be changed.)

• Jitter due to phase quantization



Proposed CDR Intro



The proposed CDR is maintained to sample around the middle of the bit window (colourful arrows)

But **NEVER** at the middle of bit window!!



Proposed CDR



large BER will occur:



- = sampling using X as sampling period
- =sampling using ideal data period



Alternate Sampling



If we use this sampling period alternately :



- = 1010 ps (Upper boundary)
- = 1000 ps (Lower boundary)

- = ideal sampling point
- = sampling point using result from TDC directly

Error will not occur !



Obtaining Upper and Lower boundary



Theoretically, only 3 preamble data is needed!



Sampling Based on Feedback system

Compare distance between data edge and sampling edge (Y's) with X/2



= 1000 ps (Lower boundary)

Comparison is executed at every data edge16



Simulation Result in Verilog-A



Simulation Result in Verilog-A



Tokyo Institute of Technology

Deterministic Jitter estimation

The peak to peak jitter is dependent upon TDC resolution and data type:

 $2^{N}-1 \longrightarrow N^{*}TDC$ resolution

The RMS jitter is dependent upon the time distance between data period and lower/upper boundary



Realization issues

- Counter with tens of ps speed is difficult
- Counter is replaced by multiphase clock generator and logic
- [5] has shown 10 ps multiphase clock is possible in TSMC 0.18 μ m

[5]"A Sub-10-ps Multiphase Sampling System Using Redundancy" Lee. Li, Weinlander. D, Yang. C-K K



Clock Recovery Stage



Data Recovery Stage





With logic and ideal Multiphase clock



Merit and demerit

MERIT

- Fast lock
- Infinite frequency acquisition range
- Robust
- Scalable

DEMERIT

- Jitter occurs in every data sampling (deterministic jitter)
- Jitter depends on data type

Deterministic Jitter is dependent on digital resolution. As technology scales, jitter will reduce as well

Comparison to PLL

	PLL based CDR	Proposed CDR
Lock Time	> 100 preamble data . Depends on loop bandwidth	A few data bits
Jitter	Depends on analog block's noise , loop bandwidth , mismatch & variations	Depends on TDC resolution & multiphase clock jitter
Freq acquisition	Finite	Depends on TDC memory capacity, theoretically infinite
Scalability	NO	YES

Comparison to Oversampling

	Oversampling CDR	Proposed CDR
Lock Time	Within a few data bits	Within a few data bits
Jitter	Depends on multiphase clock jitter	Depends on TDC resolution & multiphase clock jitter
Response to Change of Input freq	Take time for the multiphase clock to relock	Fast, only a few data bits
Scalability	YES	YES

Comparison



Use 3 analog loop, lock time takes several μ sec PRBS 2⁸-1 P-P Jitter 280 ps



Summary and Features

- A new concept for Full digital CDR has been verified in verilog-A
- The proposed CDR has infinite frequency acquisition.
- The highest operating frequency is only limited to speed of digital circuit.
- Scalable, and as device shrinks, jitter and speed are automatically improved
- To sum up, fast lock, mobility, robustness, and scalability is this circuit's main attraction



Future Works

- Phase detection and Phase compensation circuit
- Improvements against data jitter (Jitter tolerance)



Reference

[1] "An Auto-Ranging 50-210Mb/s Clock **Recovery Circuit with Time-to-Digital Converter**". Park, Joonbae; Kim, Wonchan [2] "Phase Interpolator Using Delay Locked Loop". Kim, Taesung, Kim, Beomsup [3] "A 0.5um CMOS 4Gb/s serial link transceiver with data recovery using oversampling." C.-K. K. Yang, R. Farjad-Rad, M. Horowitz. [4] "A Multibitrate Burst-Mode CDR Circuit With Bit-Rate Discrimination Function From 52-1244Mb/s." S. Kobayashi, M. Hashimoto [5] "A Sub-10-ps Multiphase Sampling System Using Redundancy" Lee. Li, Weinlander. D, Yang. C-K K

